Networks of Splicing Processors

José M. Sempere Research Group on Computation Models and Formal Languages Departamento de Sistemas Informáticos y Computación Universitat Politècnica de València

Networks of Splicing Processors

- 1. DNA Recombination and Splicing.
- 2. Splicing over strings (Type I and II).
- 3. H schemes. Iterative and non-iterative language classes.
- 4. Extended H Systems.
- 5. Extended H Systems with permitting context
- 6. Splicing processors
- 7. (Accepting) NSPs
- 8. (A)NSPs are computationally complete
- 9. Complexity issues

DNA Recombination and Splicing

double strands

restriction enzimes (endonuclease)

Splicing over strings (Types I and II)



$$W_{1} = W'_{1} U_{1} X_{1} V_{1} W''_{1} \qquad p_{1} = (U_{1}, X_{1}, V_{1}) W_{2} = W'_{2} U_{2} X_{2} V_{2} W''_{2} \qquad p_{2} = (U_{2}, X_{2}, V_{2})$$

The splicing only occurs if p_1 and p_2 are of the same class and $x_1 = x_2$

$$Z_{1} = W'_{1} U_{1} X_{1} V_{2} W''_{2}$$
$$Z_{2} = W'_{2} U_{2} X_{2} V_{1} W''_{1}$$

Splicing over strings (Types I and II)

$$W_{1} = W'_{1} U_{1} X_{1} V_{1} W''_{1} \qquad p_{1} = (U_{1}, X_{1}, V_{1}) \qquad Z_{1} = W'_{1} U_{1} X_{1} V_{2} W''_{2} W_{2} = W'_{2} U_{2} X_{2} V_{2} W''_{2} \qquad p_{2} = (U_{2}, X_{2}, V_{2}) \qquad Z_{2} = W'_{2} U_{2} X_{2} V_{1} W''_{1}$$

The patterns (p_1, p_2) can be denoted as $(u_1, u_2; u_3, u_4)$ or as the string $u_1 # u_2 \$ u_3 u_4$.

Let $r=u_1#u_2$u_3u_4$ be an splicing rule, then we can define the following operations

Type I splicing operation

Type II splicing operation

 $(x,y) \models_{r} z \sin x = x_{1}u_{1}u_{2}x_{2},$ $y = y_{1}u_{3}u_{4}y_{2},$ $z = x_{1}u_{1}u_{4}y_{2},$ $(x,y) \models_{r} (z,w) \sin x = x_{1}u_{1}u_{2}x_{2},$ $y = y_{1}u_{3}u_{4}y_{2},$ $z = x_{1}u_{1}u_{4}y_{2},$ $w = y_{1}u_{3}u_{2}x_{2}$

H schemes

 σ = (V, R) where

V an alphabet $R \subseteq V^* # V^* V^* A$ set of splicing rules

If R belongs to the family of languages L then σ is of type L

 $\forall L \subseteq V^*$ $\sigma_1(L) = \{ z \in V^* : (x, y) \models_r z, x, y \in L, r \in R \}$ $\sigma_1(x, y) = \{ z \in V^* : (x, y) \models_r z, r \in R \}$ $\sigma_1(L) = \bigcup_{x, y \in L} \sigma_1(x, y)$

Language classes denoted by the H schemes (the noniterative case)

 $\sigma = (V, R)$

 $S_1(L_1,L_2) = \{ \sigma_1(L) : L \in L_1, R \in L_2 \}$

 L_1 is closed under splicing of type L_2 if $S_1(L_1, L_2) \subseteq L_1$

Lemma For all the families of languages L_1 , L_2 , L'_1 , L'_2 such that $L_1 \subseteq L'_1$ and $L_2 \subseteq L'_2$ the inclusion $S_1(L_1, L_2) \subseteq S_1(L'_1, L'_2)$ holds.

Language classes denoted by the H systems (the noniterative case)

L_1 L_2	FIN	REG	LIN	CF	CS	RE
FIN	FIN	FIN	FIN	FIN	FIN	FIN
REG	REG	REG	REG, LIN	REG, CF	REG, RE	REG, RE
LIN	LIN, CF	LIN, CF	RE	RE	RE	RE
CF	CF	CF	RE	RE	RE	RE
CS	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE
RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE

 $S_1(L_1, L_2)$

Language classes denoted by the H schemes (the iterative case)

 $\sigma = (V, R) \qquad L \subseteq V^*$

 $\sigma_1(\mathsf{L}) = \{ z \in \mathsf{V}^* : (x, y) \mid \neg_r z, x, y \in \mathsf{L}, r \in \mathsf{R} \}$

 $\begin{aligned} \sigma^0_1(\mathsf{L}) &= \mathsf{L} \\ \sigma^{i+1}_1(\mathsf{L}) &= \sigma^i_1(\mathsf{L}) \cup \sigma_1(\sigma^i_1(\mathsf{L})), \quad i \ge 0 \end{aligned}$

 $\sigma_1^*(L) = \bigcup \sigma_1^i(L)$

 $H_1(L_1,L_2) = \{ \sigma_1^*(L) : , L \in L_1, R \in L_2 \}$

Language classes denoted by the H systems (the iterative case)

L_1 L_2	FIN	REG	LIN	CF	CS	RE
FIN	FIN, REG	FIN, RE	FIN, RE	FIN, RE	FIN, RE	FIN,RE
REG	REG	REG, RE				
LIN	LIN, CF	LIN, RE				
CF	CF	CF, RE				
CS	CS, RE	CS, RE	CS, RE	CS, RE	CS, RE	CS, RE
RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE

 $H_1(L_1, L_2)$

Extended H Systems

 $\sigma = (V, R) \text{ is an H scheme} \qquad \qquad L \subseteq V^* \text{ is a language}$

 $\gamma = (V, L, R)$ is a <u>H system</u>

 $\mathsf{L}(\gamma) = \sigma_1^*(\mathsf{L})$

 $\gamma = (V, T, A, R)$ is an <u>extended H system</u>

V is an alphabet $T \subseteq V$ is an alphabet of terminal symbols $A \subseteq V^*$ is a set of axioms $R \subseteq V^* V^* V^* V^*$ is a set of splicing rules

 $L(\gamma) = {\sigma^*}_1(A) \cap T^*$

 $\mathsf{EH}_1(\mathsf{L}_1,\mathsf{L}_2)=\{\ \mathsf{L}(\gamma): \mathsf{A}\in\ \mathsf{L}_1,\ \mathsf{R}\in\ \mathsf{L}_2\ \}$

Language classes denoted by the extended H systems

L_1 L_2	FIN	REG	LIN	CF	CS	RE
FIN	REG	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE
REG	REG	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE
LIN	LIN, CF	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE
CF	CF	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE
CS	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE
RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE

 $EH_1(L_1,L_2)$

Extended H systems with permitting contexts

 $\gamma = (V, T, A, R)$ is an extended H system

R is a finite set of 3-tuples in the form $p = (r; C_1, C_2) \quad r = u_1 # u_2 \$ u_3 # u_4$ $C_1, C_2 \subseteq V^* \text{ (finite)}$



set of axioms A

Splicing processors

Choudhary & Krithivasan, 2007

A <u>splicing processor</u> over *V* is a 8-tuple $(M, S, A, PI, FI, PO, FO, \beta)$, where:

M is a set of splicing rules with permitting context S is a finite set of strings over V A is a finite set of axioms over V PI,FI \subseteq V are the input permitting/forbidding contexts of the processor PO,FO \subseteq V are the output permitting/forbidding contexts of the processor (with PI \cap FI= \emptyset and PO \cap FO= \emptyset) $\beta \in \{(1),(2)\}$ defines the input/output filter

We can define the following predicates for the filters

 $rc_{(1)}(z, P, F) \equiv [P \subseteq alph(z)] \land [F \cap alph(z) = \emptyset]$ $rc_{(2)}(z, P, F) \equiv [alph(z) \cap P \neq \emptyset] \land [F \cap alph(z) = \emptyset]$

Splicing processors

Manea, Martín-Vide & Mitrana, 2005

A <u>splicing processor</u> over *V* is a 6-tuple (*S*,*A*,*PI*,*FI*,*PO*,*FO*),where:

S is a finite set of splicing rules over V A is a finite set of auxiliary words over V PI,FI \subseteq V are the input permitting/forbidding contexts of the processor PO,FO \subseteq V are the output permitting/forbidding contexts of the processor (with PI \cap FI= \emptyset and PO \cap FO= \emptyset)

We can define the following predicates for the filters

 $rc_{(1)}(z, P, F) \equiv [P \subseteq alph(z)] \land [F \cap alph(z) = \emptyset]$ $rc_{(2)}(z, P, F) \equiv [alph(z) \cap P \neq \emptyset] \land [F \cap alph(z) = \emptyset]$

Networks of Splicing Processors (NSPs)

Choudhary & Krithivasan, 2007

A <u>NSP</u> of size n is a tuple $(V, N_1, N_2, ..., N_n, G)$, where:

V is an alphabet N_i is the i*th* splicing processor G is an undirected graph without loops (the underlying topology of the network)

- The configuration of the network consists of the strings at every processor (excluding the axioms for the splicing rule)
- The network evolves as in the Networks of Evolutionary Processors (NEPs) with *splicing* steps and *communication* steps
- There exists an output processor which collects the strings as the product of a computation sequence
- The network halts whenever no splicing operation can be carried out and no string can be communicated

Accepting Networks of Splicing Processors (ANSPs)

Manea, Martín-Vide & Mitrana, 2005

An <u>ANSP</u> is a 9-tuple $(V, U, <, >, G, N, \alpha, x_{I}, x_{O})$, where:

V,U are the input and network alphabets

 $<,> \in U \setminus V$ are special symbols

 $G=(X_G,E_G)$ is an undirected graph without loops (the underlying topology of the network)

N: $X_G \rightarrow SP_U$ associates to each node in the graph a splicing processor over U $\alpha: X_G \rightarrow \{(1), (2)\}$ defines the type of filter at every processor $x_I, x_O \in X_G$ are the input and output processors

- The configuration of the network consists of the strings at every processor
- The network evolves as in the Networks of Evolutionary Processors (NEPs) with *splicing* steps and *communication* steps
- The input processor initially holds the string to be analyzed
- The network halts whenever: (1) a string enters into the output processor (accepting computation) or, (2) There exists two identical configurations obtained either in consecutive splicing steps or in consecutive communication steps (not an accepting computation)

(A)NSPs are computationally complete

Choudhary & Krithivasan, 2007

Theorem. Each recursively enumerable language can be generated by a complete NSP of size two where the splicing rules are of type regular.

Simulate a type 0 Chomsky grammar which works in the same way as the $EH_2(FIN,pFIN)$ system

(A)NSPs are computationally complete

Manea, Martín-Vide & Mitrana, 2005

Theorem. For any Turing machine M there exists an ANSP that accepts exactly the same language as M does.

Simulate the movements of a Turing machine with a number of processors that linearly depends on the size of the alphabet and states of the Turing machine

(A)NSPs are computationally complete

Manea, Martín-Vide & Mitrana, 2005

Theorem. For any ANSP Γ , accepting the language L, there exists a Turing machine M that accepts the same language L.

The nondeterministic Turing machine associates every state to a node in the ANSP. The splicing rules and evolution strings are nondeterministically chosen. Whenever the Turing machine enters into the state which is associated to the output node, then it halts and accepts the input word.

Manea, Martín-Vide & Mitrana, 2007

Introducing time complexity measures

We consider an ANSP Γ with the input alphabet V that halts on every input. The <u>time complexity</u> of the computation $C_0(x), C_1(x), C_2(x), \ldots, C_m(x)$ of Γ on x is denoted by Time_{Γ}(x) and equals m.

The time complexity of Γ is the partial function from N to N,

Time_{Γ} (n) = max{Time_{Γ}(x) | |x| = n}.

For a function $f : N \rightarrow N$ we define

Time_{ANSP}(f (n)) = {L | L = L(Γ) for an ANSP Γ with Time_{Γ} (n) \leq f (n) for some $n \geq n_0$ }.

$$PTime_{ANSP} = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} Time_{ANSP}(n^k)$$

Manea, Martín-Vide & Mitrana, 2007

Complexity results

Proposition. If L \in **NP then L** \in **PTime**_{ANSP}

Proposition. If $L \in PTime_{ANSP}$ then $L \in NP$.



Manea, Martín-Vide & Mitrana, 2007

Introducing space complexity measures

The length complexity of the computation $C_0(x), C_1(x), C_2(x), \ldots, C_m(x)$ of Γ on x is denoted by Length_{Γ}(x) and equals to max{ $|w| : w \in C_i(x) : 1 \le i \le m$ }.

The length complexity of Γ is the partial function from N to N,

Length_{Γ} (n) = max{Length _{Γ}(x) | |x| = n}.

For a function $f : N \rightarrow N$ we define

Length_{ANSP}(f (n)) = {L | L = L(Γ) for an ANSP Γ with Length_{Γ} (n) \leq f (n) for some $n \geq n_0$ }.

$$PLength_{ANSP} = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} Length_{ANSP}(n^k)$$

Manea, Martín-Vide & Mitrana, 2007

Complexity results

Proposition. If $L \in PSPACE$ then $L \in PLength_{ANSP}$

Proposition. If $L \in PLength_{ANSP}$ then $L \in PSPACE$.

